

EN  
Annex III

**Supervisory review and evaluation process (SREP)<sup>(1)</sup>**

010	<i>Date of the last update of information in this template</i>		15.7.2020
020	<b>Scope of application of SREP</b> (Articles 108 to 110 of CRD)	<p>Description of the approach of the competent authority to the scope of application of SREP including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· what types of institutions are covered by/excluded from SREP, especially if the scope is different from those specified in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and Directive 2013/36/EU;</li> <li>· a high-level overview of how the competent authority takes into account the principle of proportionality when considering the scope of SREP and frequency of assessment of various SREP elements<sup>(2)</sup>.</li> </ul>	<p>FSA Iceland SREP methodology builds inter alia on guidelines from the European Banking Authority (EBA/GL/2014/13), legal framework and internal methodology and benchmarks. The methodology applies to commercial banks, savings banks, credit undertakings, investment firms and management companies authorised to provide portfolio management.</p> <p>FSA Iceland has taken the principles of proportionality into account, and the institutions are divided into four categories. Detailed SREP reviews are conducted annually (category 1), every second year (category 2) and every third year (categories 3 and 4).</p> <p>The FSA Iceland has published "Common Criteria and Methodologies for SREP" along with Annexes outlining supervisory benchmarks for the setting of Pillar 2 additional own funds requirements for credit, concentration and market risk as well as methods for setting capital buffers. Please find section on SREP methodology and benchmarks: <a href="https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/">https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/</a></p>
030	<b>Assessment of SREP elements</b> (Articles 74 to 96 of CRD)	<p>Description of the approach of the competent authority to the assessment of individual SREP elements (as referred to in EBA Guidelines on common procedures and methodologies for SREP- EBA/GL/2014/13) including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· a high-level overview of the assessment process and methodologies applied to the assessment of SREP elements, including: (1) business model analysis, (2) assessment of internal governance and institution-wide controls, (3) assessment of risks to capital, and (4) assessment of risks to liquidity and funding;</li> <li>· a high-level overview of how the competent authority takes into account the principle of proportionality when assessing individual SREP elements, including how the categorisation of institutions have been applied<sup>(3)</sup>.</li> </ul>	<p>Please find section on SREP methodology and benchmarks: <a href="https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/">https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/</a></p>
040	<b>Review and evaluation of ICAAP and ILAAP</b> (Articles 73, 86, 97, 98 and 103 of CRD)	<p>Description of the approach of the competent authority to the review and evaluation of the internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP) and internal liquidity adequacy assessment process (ILAAP) as part of the SREP, and, in particular, for assessing the reliability of the ICAAP and ILAAP capital and liquidity calculations for the purposes of determining additional own funds and quantitative liquidity requirements including<sup>(4)</sup>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· an overview of the methodology applied by the competent authority to review the ICAAP and ILAAP of institutions;</li> <li>· information/reference to the competent authority requirements for submission of ICAAP and ILAAP related information, in particular covering what information need to be submitted;</li> <li>· information on whether an independent review of the ICAAP and ILAAP is required from the institution.</li> </ul>	<p>Please find section on SREP methodology and benchmarks: <a href="https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/">https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/</a></p>
050	<b>Overall SREP assessment and supervisory measures</b> (Articles 102 and 104 of CRD)	<p>Description of the approach of the competent authority to the overall SREP assessment (summary) and application of supervisory measures on the basis of the overall SREP assessment<sup>(5)</sup>.</p> <p>Description of how SREP outcomes are linked to the application of early intervention measures according to Article 27 of Directive 2014/59/EU and determination of conditions whether the institution can be considered failing or likely to fail according to Article 32 of that Directive<sup>(6)</sup>.</p>	<p>Please find section on SREP methodology and benchmarks: <a href="https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/">https://en.fme.is/published-material/rules/</a></p>

<sup>(1)</sup>Competent authorities shall disclose the criteria and methodologies used in rows 020 to 040 and in row 050 for the overall assessment. The type of information that shall be disclosed in form of an explanatory note is described in the second column.

**EN**

**Annex III**

<sup>(2)</sup>The scope of SREP to be considered both at a level of an institution and in respect of its own resources.

A competent authority shall explain the approach used to classify institutions into different categories for SREP purposes, describing the use of quantitative and qualitative criteria, and how financial stability or other overall supervisory objectives are affected by such categorisation.

A competent authority shall also explain how categorisation is put in practice for the purposes of ensuring at least a minimum engagement in SREP assessments, including the description of the frequencies for the assessment of all SREP elements for different categories of institutions.

<sup>(3)</sup>Including working tools e.g. on-site inspections and off-site examinations, qualitative and quantitative criteria, statistical data used in the assessments. Hyperlinks to any guidance on the website are recommended.